

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/703,809 10/30/2000 Jeff L. DeJong 119941-1083 3391 12/23/2004 EXAMINER 7590 CHALKER FLORES, LLP HUTSON, RICHARD G 12700 PARK CENTRAL ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 455 DALLAS, TX 75251 1652

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/703,809	DEJONG, JEFF L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard G. Hutson	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Second for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons <u>ee Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: 92.			
Claim(s) objected to: 89,100 and 103.			
Claim(s) rejected: 86-88,90,91,93-99,101,102 and 1	<u>04-107</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/703,809

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applciants proposed amendment of the claims such that they are drawn to a "testis specific DNA binding protein" comprising a "testis specific DNA binding transcription factor" would if entered raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Specifically applicants newly claimed genus (i.e. an isolated testis specific DNA binding protein with the specified percent homology to SEQ ID NO: 2 and wherein said protein comprises a testis specific DNA binding transcription factor lacks adequate support in the specification as originally filed and would thus be rejected as new matter under 112 first paragraph.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record remain in light of the non-entry of applicants proposed amendment as well as applicants arguments.